UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,577	11/24/2003	Lars Christian Fabricius	000035-060	1227	
21839 BUCHANAN,	7590 08/21/2007 INGERSOLL & ROON	EY PC	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			FORD, JOHN K		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•			3744		
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/718,577	FABRICIUS ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner -	Art Unit	
	John K. Ford	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of this A 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in concerning the control of the reply most of the final rejection.	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 13 August 2007.	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da). ef in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, of must be filed within to	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, two months of
the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a North of the affidate of	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered and necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a second or the evidence failed	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	date of filing a brief, value and/or appellant fai	will <u>not</u> be Is to provide a

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: ____.

Application No. 10/718,577

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is unconvincing. Applicant's functional language in regard to the valve in the bypass conduit has been treated consistent with MPEP 2114, a fact counsel fails to address in his remarks. Functional recitations of the intended use of a structure are not structure. While the heat exchangers of Dumser may not be illustrated in the drawings, one cannot give the reference an honest read without acknowledging their existence and their connection to ports 5 and 6 in Dumser. The flow rate indicators are clearly disclosed at 7 and illustrated in great detail in Figure 3 of Dumser. The bypass and valve are clearly disclosed by Morgan and Sueyoshi, notwithstanding applicant's remarks to the contrary. Finally, counsel admits that applicant's isolating valve 21 (page 13, last paragraph, of the August 13, 2007 response) is a simple on-off valve. That on-off valve is disclosed in the bypass conduit each of Morgan and Sueyoshi.